

## RULES FOR PAPERS REVIEWING

---

**Review procedure:** At least two independent reviewers are appointed to evaluate the paper, they are outside the scientific unit affiliated by the author/authors of the paper. The journal supports **the double-blind review process** – the authors and reviewers do not know their identities. In ethical matters, the journal follows the publication ethics of the **Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)**.

Editors and reviewers commit to fight unethical phenomena such as: "ghostwriting" and "guest authorship".

The review contains comments and a clear conclusion of the reviewer about the conditions for admitting a scientific article for publication or its rejection. The review includes a general recommendation, which takes one of four possible options: accept in present form; accept after minor revision; reconsider after major revision; reject.

**Criteria for qualifying and rejecting articles:** Only original scientific papers written in correct English will be accepted. Articles that do not meet the following requirements may be rejected by the Editor-in-Chief before sending the article for review. This also applies to articles that infringe the copyrights of third parties. When qualifying for publication, the following criteria are taken into account:

- compliance of the title and abstract with the subject of the article,
- compliance of conclusions with the results presented in the article,
- correctness and innovation of research methods applied,
- correctness of the layout of the paper, language, style, units, and terminology used,
- quality of attached drawings and tables,
- correctness of citations and validity of the state of knowledge presented.

The names of the reviewers of individual papers will not be disclosed. Most reviewers are chosen from the Editorial Board members.